## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

No. 1:11-cr-10212-JLT

**JOHN WILLIS** 

Defendant.

## DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO THE COURT'S ORDER DATED DECEMBER 28, 2012

John Willis ("the defendant"), by and through undersigned council, filed a motion for an order suppressing all intercepted communications obtained as a result of the submission of applications by the United States Attorney's Office to intercept the cell phone activity of the Defendant and any evidence derived or gained as the fruits of those interceptions. The defendant contends pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 2518 (10)(a)(ii) that the order of authorization and approval under which the cell phone calls were intercepted was insufficient on its face. The motion, at this time, will require a preliminary review of the initial warrant submitted in support of the wire intercepts. At this time the Defendant would agree that no evidentiary hearing is required. Should the Court determine, an evidentiary hearing pursuant to Franks v. Delaware, 438 U.S. 154 (1978) may be required at a later date.

Dated: December 31, 2012

JOHN WILLIS
By and through his attorney,

/s/ Charles E. Dolan
Charles E. Dolan, BBO#546344
DENNER♦PELLEGRINO, LLP
265 State Street

Springfield, Massachusetts 01103

Tel.: (413) 746-4400 Fax: (413) 746-2816 cdolan@dennerpellegrino.com